AD					

Award Number: W81XWH-11-1-0815

TITLE: Pathophysiology of Post Amputation Pain

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Dr. R. Norman Harden

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

REPORT DATE: 10/11/2012

VYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

Á

Á

 $V@A_{5}a^{3}_{3} \bullet EA_{1} = A_{5}a^{3}_{4} \bullet A_{5}a^{3}_{4} \bullet A_{5}a^{3}_{4} \bullet A_{5}a^{3}_{4} \bullet A_{5}a^{3}_{4} \bullet A_{5}a^{3}_{5} \bullet A_{5}a^{3}$

	NUMBER 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSO PAGES USAMRMC	PERSON
40 OFOURITY OF ACCIDING TO 100 OF	NUMBER Idea NAME OF BEST NOTE	TD0011
Phantom pain, Phantom sensation, Post amputation pain		
15. SUBJECT TERMS		
, p		
of PAP, we can help future amputees.	e causes	
your brain (using a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) machine) and linterventions (such as an injection of pain medicine). We hope that by learning the		
the body and brain by using sensory testing (such as pinprick testing), taking pict	ures of	
known about the causes of this pain. The purpose of this study is to see how PAF		
This study is being done because post amputation pain (PAP) is common, yet ve	ry little ie	
14. ABSTRACT		
13. SUFFLEMENTART NOTES		
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT		
	NUMBER(S)	
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT	
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	n(3)
0 SPONSODING / MONITORING ACENCY NAME (S) AND ADDRESS (FS)	40 SPONSOP/MONITORIS ACRONIVAVO	1/6)
Chicago, IL 60611		
446 E. Ontario St. Ste.1011		
Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago	NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	PORT
E-Mail: nharden@ric.org		
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
Dr. R. Harden, M.D., PI	5e. TASK NUMBER	
	S. TAOK NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
	3C. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
	W81XWH-11-1-0815 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
	5b. GRANT NUMBER	
Pathophysiology of Post Amputation Pain		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	12012
1. REPORT DATE 2. REPORT TYPE 11 October 2012 Annual	3. DATES COVERED 26September2011-25September201	r2012
4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failit valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	currently
data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-01).	0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-	22202-
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing in	structions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	Form Approved	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	OMB No. 0704-0188	

b. ABSTRACT U

a. REPORT U c. THIS PAGE U 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

23

UU

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	4
Body	4
Key Research Accomplishments	4
Reportable Outcomes	5
Conclusion	5
References	5
Appendices	13

INTRODUCTION:

This study is being done because post amputation pain (PAP) is common, yet very little is known about the causes of this pain. The purpose of this study is to see how PAP affects the body and brain by using sensory testing (such as pinprick testing), taking pictures of your brain (using a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) machine) and biomedical interventions (such as an injection of pain medicine). We hope that by learning the causes of PAP, we can help future amputees.

BODY:

During this year our experiment consisted of submitting all study materials and protocol for approval and working out the logistics to begin the experiment. The goal was to be ready to start upon immediate approval of the IRB. This included working with the team to get all supplies and materials needed for the injection, the fMRI, and all of the other testing and forms to perform the experiment. Recruitment techniques were also heavily discussed such as using flyers, past participants from other studies, and an ad on the CTA. Equipment such as the electrical stimulus and our temperature testing with the Medoc was tested to ensure that machines could be used in various settings. Our protocol was completed and accepted by both Northwestern University and DOD. While waiting for the board to approve it adjustments to the study team were made including the addition of a biostatistics expert. Upon the approval of the IRB coordination of various teams was needed to create a schedule that would work. The randomization of participant's tool and the blind was created and maintained to be kept in a safe area. A clinical trials account was set up as well. Phone screenings began and participants were scheduled. As subjects went through each visit it was realized that there would need to be an adjustment made to the protocol and consent to allow more funds for the taxi cab ride to and from Northwestern's MRI Suite to the Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago's Spine and Sports Recreation Center where the injection is administered. Traffic is unpredictable in Chicago and often the cab rides cost more than the allotted budget currently allows. In turn there is not enough money for a proper lunch which is necessary since the study lasts about 7 hours for the second visit. In conclusion, the protocol does not prospectively allow interim data analysis at this stage.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS: Bulleted list of key research accomplishments emanating from this research

- IRB approval
- Recruitment techniques solidified
- Coordination of all teams and equipment to begin experiment
- Recruited subjects
- 14 phone screenings completed
- 3 subjects have finished the whole protocol

• 6 enrolled subjects

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES: N/A (it is too early in the protocol to begin an interim analysis but this will be a future goal).

CONCLUSION: As reported earlier it is too early in the protocol to begin an interim analysis but this will be a future goal. We will continue to recruit subjects and in the future will perform an interim analysis.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Jensen TS, Krebs B, Nielsen J, Rasmussen P. Phantom limb, phantom pain and stump pain in amputees during the first 6 months following limb amputation. Pain 1983;17:243-56.
- 2. Pitres A. Etude sur les sensations illusoires des amputes,. Ann Med Psychol 1897;5:177-92.
- 3. Jensen TS, Rasmussen P. Amputation. In: Wall PD, Melzack R, Bonica JJ, eds. Textbook of pain. Edinburgh; New York: Churchill Livingstone; 1984.
- 4. Abramson AS, Feibel A. The phantom phenomenon: its use and disuse. Bull N Y Acad Med 1981;57:99-112.
- 5. Carlen PL, Wall PD, Nadvorna H, Steinbach T. Phantom limbs and related phenomena in recent traumatic amputations. Neurology 1978;28:211-7.
- 6. Harden RN, Gagnon CM, Gallizzi M, Khan AS, Newman D. Residual limbs of amputees are significantly cooler than contralateral intact limbs. Pain Pract 2008;8:342-7.
- 7. Harden RN, Gagnon CM, Khan A, Wallach G, Zereshki A. Hypoesthesia in the Distal Residual Limb of Amputees. PM R 2010;2:607-11.
- 8. Sherman RA, Sherman CJ, Parker L. Chronic phantom and stump pain among American veterans: results of a survey. Pain 1984;18:83-95.
- 9. Davis RW. Phantom sensation, phantom pain, and stump pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1993;74:79-91.
- 10. Keil G. [So-called initial description of phantom pain by Ambroise Pare. "Chose digne d'admiration et quasi incredible": the "douleur es parties mortes et amputees"]. Fortschr Med 1990;108:62-6.
- 11. Mitchell SW. Injuries of the nerves and their consequences. Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott & Co; 1872.

- 12. Whyte AS, Niven CA. Variation in phantom limb pain: results of a diary study. J Pain Symptom Manage 2001;22:947-53.
- 13. Kooijman CM, Dijkstra PU, Geertzen JH, Elzinga A, van der Schans CP. Phantom pain and phantom sensations in upper limb amputees: an epidemiological study. Pain 2000;87:33-41.
- 14. Wall PD, Gutnick M. Ongoing activity in peripheral nerves: the physiology and pharmacology of impulses originating from a neuroma. Exp Neurol 1974;43:580-93.
- 15. Wall P, Devor M. Physiology of sensation after peripheral nerve injury, regeneration and neuroma formation. In: Waxman S, ed. Physiology and Pathobiology of Axons. New York: Raven Press; 1978.
- 16. Wall PD, Waxman S, Basbaum AI. Ongoing activity in peripheral nerve: injury discharge. Exp Neurol 1974;45:576-89.
- 17. Cajal SR. Degeneration and Regeneration in the Nervous System. New York: Hafner; 1959.
- 18. Noordenbos W. Pain problems pertaining to the transmission of nerve impulses which give rise to pain. Elsevier, Amsterdam; 1959.
- 19. Devor M, Claman D. Mapping and plasticity of acid phosphatase afferents in the rat dorsal horn. Brain Res 1980;190:17-28.
- 20. Devor M, Wall PD, Catalan N. Systemic lidocaine silences ectopic neuroma and DRG discharge without blocking nerve conduction. Pain 1992;48:261-8.
- 21. Melzack R. Phantom limb pain: Implications for treatment of pathologic pain. Anesthesiology 1971;35:409-16.
- 22. Dostrovsky JO, Millar J, Wall PD. The immediate shift of afferent drive to dorsal column nucleus cells following deafferentation: a comparison of acute and chronic deafferentation in gracile nucleus and spinal cord. Exp Neurol 1976;52:480-95.
- 23. Devor M, Wall PD. Reorganisation of spinal cord sensory map after peripheral nerve injury. Nature 1978;276:75-6.
- 24. Hunt SP, Mantyh PW. The molecular dynamics of pain control. Nat Rev Neurosci 2001;2:83-91.
- 25. Woolf CJ. Evidence for a central component of post-injury pain hypersensitivity. Nature 1983;306:686-8.
- 26. Sugimoto T, Bennett GJ, Kajander KC. Transsynaptic degeneration in the superficial dorsal horn after sciatic nerve injury: effects of a chronic constriction injury, transection, and strychnine. Pain 1990;42:205-13.

- 27. Hanpaa M, Laippala P, Nurmikko T. Allodynia and pinprick hypesthesia in acute herpes zoster, and the development of postherpetic neuralgia. J Pain Symptom Manage 2000;20:50-8.
- 28. Fields HL, Rowbotham M, Baron R. Postherpetic neuralgia: irritable nociceptors and deafferentation. Neurobiol Dis 1998;5:209-27.
- 29. Peyron R, Garcia-Larrea L, Gregoire MC, et al. Parietal and cingulate processes in central pain. A combined positron emission tomography (PET) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study of an unusual case. Pain 2000;84:77-87.
- 30. Witting N, Kupers RC, Svensson P, Arendt-Nielsen L, Gjedde A, Jensen TS. Experimental brush-evoked allodynia activates posterior parietal cortex. Neurology 2001;57:1817-24.
- 31. Iadarola MJ, Berman KF, Zeffiro TA, et al. Neural activation during acute capsaicin-evoked pain and allodynia assessed with PET. Brain 1998;121 (Pt 5):931-47.
- 32. Petrovic P, Ingvar M, Stone-Elander S, Petersson KM, Hansson P. A PET activation study of dynamic mechanical allodynia in patients with mononeuropathy. Pain 1999;83:459-70.
- 33. Peyron R, Garcia-Larrea L, Gregoire MC, et al. Allodynia after lateral-medullary (Wallenberg) infarct. A PET study. Brain 1998;121 (Pt 2):345-56.
- 34. Borsook D, Becerra L, Fishman S, et al. Acute plasticity in the human somatosensory cortex following amputation. Neuroreport 1998;9:1013-7.
- 35. Karl A, Birbaumer N, Lutzenberger W, Cohen LG, Flor H. Reorganization of motor and somatosensory cortex in upper extremity amputees with phantom limb pain. J Neurosci 2001;21:3609-18.
- 36. Sica RE, Sanz OP, Cohen LG, Freyre JD, Panizza M. Changes in the N1-P1 component of the somatosensory cortical evoked response in patients with partial limb amputation. Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol 1984;24:415-27.
- 37. Roricht S, Meyer BU, Niehaus L, Brandt SA. Long-term reorganization of motor cortex outputs after arm amputation. Neurology 1999;53:106-11.
- 38. Apkarian A, Baliki M, Sosa Y, et al. Nonlinear analysis of ratings of spontaneous fluctuations of pain in chronic back pain may have diagnostic value. J Pain 2003;4:19.
- 39. Apkarian A, Baliki M, Sosa Y, et al. Chronic back pain perception is mediated through orbitofrontal activity: An fMRI study of spontaneous fluctuations on ongoing pain. J Pain 2003;4:19.
- 40. Casey KL. Forebrain mechanisms of nociception and pain: analysis through imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999;96:7668-74.
- 41. Casey KL, Minoshima S, Morrow TJ, Koeppe RA. Comparison of human cerebral activation pattern during cutaneous warmth, heat pain, and deep cold pain. J Neurophysiol 1996;76:571-81.

- 42. Tracey I, Becerra L, Chang I, et al. Noxious hot and cold stimulation produce common patterns of brain activation in humans: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Neurosci Lett 2000;288:159-62.
- 43. Bridges D, Thompson SW, Rice AS. Mechanisms of neuropathic pain. Br J Anaesth 2001;87:12-26.
- 44. Woolf CJ, Salter MW. Neuronal plasticity: increasing the gain in pain. Science 2000;288:1765-9.
- 45. Byers MR, Bonica JJ. Peripheral pain mechanisms and nociceptor plasticity. In: Loeser JD, ed. Bonica's Management of Pain. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001.
- 46. Melzack R, Bromage PR. Experimental phantom limbs. Exp Neurol 1973;39:261-9.
- 47. Birbaumer N, Lutzenberger W, Montoya P, et al. Effects of regional anesthesia on phantom limb pain are mirrored in changes in cortical reorganization. J Neurosci 1997;17:5503-8.
- 48. Davis KD, Kiss ZH, Luo L, Tasker RR, Lozano AM, Dostrovsky JO. Phantom sensations generated by thalamic microstimulation. Nature 1998;391:385-7.
- 49. Flor H, Elbert T, Muhlnickel W, Pantev C, Wienbruch C, Taub E. Cortical reorganization and phantom phenomena in congenital and traumatic upper-extremity amputees. Exp Brain Res 1998;119:205-12.
- 50. Melzack R, Israel R, Lacroix R, Schultz G. Phantom limbs in people with congenital limb deficiency or amputation in early childhood. Brain 1997;120:1603-20.
- 51. Willoch F, Rosen G, Tolle TR, et al. Phantom limb pain in the human brain: unraveling neural circuitries of phantom limb sensations using positron emission tomography. Ann Neurol 2000;48:842-9.
- 52. Katz J. Psychophysiological contributions to phantom limbs. Can J Psychiatry 1992;37:282-98.
- 53. Sherman R, Sherman C, Bruno G. Psychological factors influencing chronic phantom pain: An analysis of the literature. Pain 1978;28:285-95.
- 54. Shukla GD, Sahu SC, Tripathi RP, Gupta DK. A psychiatric study of amputees. Br J Psychiatry 1982;141:50-3.
- 55. Parkes CM. Factors determining the persistence of phantom pain in the amputee. J Psychosom Res 1973;17:97-108.
- Harden R, Newman D, Daschbach P, et al. Is post amputation pain sympathetically maintained? The Journal of Pain 2005;6:S24-S.
- 57. Katz J. Psychophysical correlates of phantom limb experience. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:811-21.

- 58. Campbell J, Raja S, Meyer R. Painful sequelae of nerve injury. In: Dubner R, Gebhart G, Bond M, eds. Proceedings of the Fifth World Congress on Pain. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1988:135-43.
- 59. Roberts WJ. A hypothesis on the physiological basis for causalgia and related pains. Pain 1986;24:297-311.
- 60. Livingston WK. Phantom limb pain. A report of ten cases in which it was treated by injections of procaine hydrochloride near the thoracic sympathetic ganglions. Archives of Surgery 1938;37:353-70.
- 61. Kallio K. Permanency of results obtained by sympathetic surgery in the treatment of phantom pain. Acta Orthop Scand 1950;19:391-7.
- 62. Harden RN. Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. In: Fishman SM, Ballantyne JC, Rathmell JP, eds. Bonica's Management of Pain. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2010:314-31.
- 63. Harden RN, Rudin NJ, Bruehl S, et al. Increased systemic catecholamines in complex regional pain syndrome and relationship to psychological factors: a pilot study. Anesth Analg 2004;99:1478-85; table of contents.
- 64. Raja SN, Treede RD, Davis KD, Campbell JN. Systemic alpha-adrenergic blockade with phentolamine: a diagnostic test for sympathetically maintained pain. Anesthesiology 1991;74:691-8.
- 65. Torebjork E, Wahren L, Wallin G, Hallin R, Koltzenburg M. Noradrenaline-evoked pain in neuralgia. Pain 1995;63:11-20.
- 66. Baron R, Maier C. Reflex sympathetic dystrophy: skin blood flow, sympathetic vasoconstrictor reflexes and pain before and after surgical sympathectomy. Pain 1996;67:317-26.
- 67. Livingston WK. Pain Mechanisms. New York: MacMillan; 1943.
- 68. Blumberg H, Janig W. Changes of reflexes in vasoconstrictor neurons supplying the cat hindlimb following chronic nerve lesions: a model for studying mechanisms of reflex sympathetic dystrophy? J Auton Nerv Syst 1983;7:399-411.
- 69. Jänig W. Causalgia and reflex sympathetic dystrophy: In which way is the sympathetic nervous system involved? Trends in Neurosciences 1985;8:471-7.
- 70. Janig W, Koltzenburg M. What is the interaction between the sympathetic terminal and the primary afferent fiber? In: Basbaum AI, Besson JM, eds. Towards a New Pharmacotherapy of Pain. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 1991:331-52.
- 71. Janig W, Koltzenburg M. Possible ways of sympathetic afferent interaction. In: Janig W, Schmidt RF, eds. Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy: Pathophysiological Mechanisms and Clinical Implications. New York: VCH Verlagsgesellschaft; 1992:213-43.
- 72. Devor M. Nerve pathophysiology and mechanisms of pain in causalgia. J Auton Nerv Syst 1983;7:371-84.

- 73. Sliosberg A. Les algies des amputes. Paris: Masson; 1948.
- 74. Kristen H, Lukeschitsch G, Plattner F, Sigmund R, Resch P. Thermography as a means for quantitative assessment of stump and phantom pains. Prosthet Orthot Int 1984;8:76-81.
- 75. Sherman R. Direct evidence of a link between burning phantom pain and stump blood circulation: A case report. Orthopedics 1984;7:1319-20.
- 76. Sherman RA, Bruno GM. Concurrent variation of burning phantom limb and stump pain with near surface blood flow in the stump. Orthopedics 1987;10:1395-402.
- 77. Nystrom B, Hagbarth KE. Microelectrode recordings from transected nerves in amputees with phantom limb pain. Neurosci Lett 1981;27:211-6.
- 78. Woolf CJ, Mannion RJ. Neuropathic pain: aetiology, symptoms, mechanisms, and management. Lancet 1999;353:1959-64.
- 79. Rolke R, Magerl W, Campbell KA, et al. Quantitative sensory testing: a comprehensive protocol for clinical trials. Eur J Pain 2006;10:77-88.
- 80. Dotson RM. Clinical neurophysiology laboratory tests to assess the nociceptive system in humans. J Clin Neurophysiol 1997;14:32-45.
- 81. Yarnitsky D. Quantitative sensory testing. Muscle Nerve 1997;20:198-204.
- 82. Gruener G, Dyck PJ. Quantitative sensory testing: methodology, applications, and future directions. J Clin Neurophysiol 1994;11:568-83.
- 83. Shy ME, Frohman EM, So YT, et al. Quantitative sensory testing: report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2003;60:898-904.
- 84. Logothetis NK, Pauls J, Augath M, Trinath T, Oeltermann A. Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. Nature 2001;412:150-7.
- 85. Ugurbil K, Hu X, Chen W, Zhu XH, Kim SG, Georgopoulos A. Functional mapping in the human brain using high magnetic fields. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1999;354:1195-213.
- 86. Apkarian AV, Thomas PS, Krauss BR, Szeverenyi NM. Prefrontal cortical hyperactivity in patients with sympathetically mediated chronic pain. Neurosci Lett 2001;311:193-7.
- 87. Apkarian AV, Grachev ID, Krauss BR, Szeverenyi NM. Methods in imaging human brain pathophysiology of chronic pain. In: Kruger L, ed. Methods in Pain Research. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2002:241-62.

- 88. Apkarian AV, Grachev ID, Krauss BR, Szeverenyi NM. Imaging brain pathophysiology of chronic CRPS pain. In: Harden RN, Baron R, Janig W, eds. Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. Seattle: IASP Press; 2001:209-27.
- 89. Apkarian AV, Krauss BR, Fredrickson BE, Szeverenyi NM. Imaging the pain of low back pain: functional magnetic resonance imaging in combination with monitoring subjective pain perception allows the study of clinical pain states. Neurosci Lett 2001;299:57-60.
- 90. Uematsu S. Thermographic imaging of cutaneous sensory segment in patients with peripheral nerve injury. Skin-temperature stability between sides of the body. J Neurosurg 1985;62:716-20.
- 91. Assessment: thermography in neurologic practice. The American Academy of Neurology, Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee. Neurology 1990;40:523-5.
- 92. Brelsford KL, Uematsu S. Thermographic presentation of cutaneous sensory and vasomotor activity in the injured peripheral nerve. J Neurosurg 1985;62:711-5.
- 93. Pulst SM, Haller P. Thermographic assessment of impaired sympathetic function in peripheral nerve injuries. J Neurol 1981;226:35-42.
- 94. Rudy TE, Turk DC, Brena SF. Differential utility of medical procedures in the assessment of chronic pain patients. Pain 1988;34:53-60.
- 95. Schwartzman RJ, McLellan TL. Reflex sympathetic dystrophy: A review. Arch Neruol 1987;44:555-61.
- 96. Hogan QH, Abram SE. Neural blockade for diagnosis and prognosis. A review. Anesthesiology 1997;86:216-41.
- 97. Pawela CP, Biswal BB, Hudetz AG, et al. Interhemispheric neuroplasticity following limb deafferentation detected by resting-state functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging (fcMRI) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). NeuroImage 2010;49:2467-78.
- 98. Draganski B, Moser T, Lummel N, et al. Decrease of thalamic gray matter following limb amputation. NeuroImage 2006;31:951-7.
- 99. Daschbach P, Houle T, Newman D, Ranjbaran Z, Hyun P, Harden R. Is etiology of amputation correlated with psychophysiological and psychosocial aspects of pain? The Journal of Pain 2005;6:S24-S.
- 100. Arnold G, Wille O, Harden R, Gagnon C. (683): Concordance between different subsets of the post-amputation population is the rule: An analysis of psychophysical variables. The Journal of Pain 2007;8:S21-S.
- 101. Harden RN, Khan AS, Gagnon C, Daschbach P, DiMaio A, Kuiken T. PR_148: The Assessment of Quantitative Sensory Testing in Postamputation Patients. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2006;87:e29-e.

- 102. Harden RN, Kahn A, Zinke J, DiMaio A, Gagnon C. Distal residual limbs in amputees show significant cold perception hypoesthesia. J Pain 2006;7:S62.
- 103. Harden RN, Bruehl S, Stanos S, et al. Prospective examination of pain-related and psychological predictors of CRPS-like phenomena following total knee arthroplasty: a preliminary study. Pain 2003;106:393-400.
- 104. Harden RN, Houle TT, Green S, et al. Biofeedback in the treatment of phantom limb pain: a time-series analysis. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback 2005;30:83-93.
- 105. Dougherty PJ. Long-term follow-up of unilateral transfemoral amputees from the Vietnam war. The Journal of trauma 2003;54:718-23.
- 106. Fischer H. United States Military Casualty Statistics: Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom: Congressional Research Service; 2009 March 25,.
- 107. Dougherty PJ. Traumatic Amputations During Military Service. In: Traumatic Amputation and Prosthetics: Department of Veterans Affairs Employee Education System; 2002.
- 108. Harden RN, Bruehl S, Galer B, et al. Complex regional pain syndrome: are the IASP diagnostic criteria valid and sufficiently comprehensive? Pain 1999;83:211-9.
- 109. Harden RN, Bruehl SP, Gass S, Niemiec C, Barbick B. Signs and symptoms of the myofascial pain syndrome: a national survey of pain management providers. Clin J Pain 2000;16:64-72.
- 110. Kerns RD, Turk DC, Rudy TE. The West Haven-Yale Multidemnsional Pain Inventory (WHYMPI). Pain 1985;23:345-56.
- 111. Beck AT, Rush AJ, Shaw BF, Emery G. Cognitive therapy of depression. New York: Guilford Press; 1979.
- 112. McCracken LM, Dhingra L. A short version of the Pain Anxiety Symptoms Scale (PASS-20): preliminary development and validity. Pain Res Manag 2002;7:45-50.
- 113. Tait RC, Pollard CA, Margolis RB, Duckro PN, Krause SJ. The Pain Disability Index: psychometric and validity data. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1987;68:438-41.
- 114. Melzack R. The short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire. Pain 1987;30:191-7.
- 115. Andresen EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB, Patrick DL. Screening for depression in well older adults: evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale). Am J Prev Med 1994;10:77-84.
- 116. Harden RN, Weinland SR, Remble TA, et al. Medication Quantification Scale Version III: update in medication classes and revised detriment weights by survey of American Pain Society Physicians. J Pain 2005;6:364-71.

117. Medoc LTD. Medoc manual: TSA-2001 Neuro Sensory Arguide. In. Minneapolis, MN; 2002.	nalyzer	Model T	SA II use	er and se	ervice
APPENDICES:					
CES-D 10					
Below is a list of the ways you might have felt or behaved. Pleas way during the past week.	se tell m	ne how c	often you	u have fo	elt this
0= Rarely or none of the time (less than 1 day) 1= Some or a little of the time (1-2 days)					
2= Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days)					
3= Most or all of the time (5-7 days)					
1. I was bothered by things that usually don't bother me.		0	1	2	3
2. I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing.	0	1	2	3	
3. I felt depressed.		0	1	2	3

4. I felt that everything I did was an effort.		0	1	2	3
5. I felt hopeful about the future.		0	1	2	3
6. I felt fearful.		0	1	2	3
7. My sleep was restless.		0	1	2	3
8. I was happy.		0	1	2	3
9. I felt lonely.	0	1	2	3	
10. I could not get "going."		0	1	2	3

NRS Data Collection Form

Time	Event Description	Phantom Limb Pain NRS Rating 0-10	Residual Limb Pain NRS Rating 0-10
	Pre-Injection		
	Injection Type: Neuroma or Symp Block	n/a	n/a
	15 min post-injection		
	1 hour post-injection		
	2 hours post-injection		
	3 hours post-injection		
	4 hours post-injection		
	5 hours post-injection		
	6 hours post-injection		
	7 hours post-injection		

PASS

Individuals who experience pain develop different ways to respond to that pain. We would like to know what you do and what you think about when in pain. Please use the rating scale below to indicate how often you engage in each of the following thoughts or activities. Circle any number from 0 (NEVER) to 5 (ALWAYS) for each item.

		NEVI	<u>ER</u>		ALW	<u>AYS</u>
1.	I think that if my pain gets too severe, it will never decrease	0	1	2 3	4	5
2.	When I feel pain I am afraid that something terrible will happen	0	1	2 3	4	5
3.	I go immediately to bed when I feel severe pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
4.	I begin trembling when engaged in activity that increases pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
5.	I can't think straight when I am in pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
6.	I will stop any activity as soon as I sense pain coming on	0	1	2 3	4	5
7.	Pain seems to cause my heart to pound or race	0	1	2 3	4	5
8.	As soon as pain comes on I take medication to reduce it	0	1	2 3	4	5
9.	When I feel pain I think that I may be seriously ill	0	1	2 3	4	5
10.	During painful episodes it is difficult for me to think of anything else besides the pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
11.	I avoid important activities when I hurt	0	1	2 3	4	5
12.	When I sense pain I feel dizzy or faint	0	1	2 3	4	5
13.	Pain sensations are terrifying	0	1	2 3	4	5
14.	When I hurt I think about the pain constantly	0	1	2 3	4	5
15.	Pain makes me nauseous (feel sick)	0	1	2 3	4	5
16.	When pain comes on strong I think I might become paralyzed or more disabled	0	1	2 3	4	5

17.	I find it hard to concentrate when I hurt	0	1	2 3	4	5
18.	I find it difficult to calm my body down after periods of pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
19.	I worry when I am in pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
20.	I try to avoid activities that cause pain	0	1	2 3	4	5

CES-D 10

Below is a list of the ways you might have felt or behaved.	Please tell me how often you have felt this
way during the past week.	

0= Rarely or none of the time (less than 1 day)				
1= Some or a little of the time (1-2 days)				
2= Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days)				
3= Most or all of the time (5-7 days)				
1. I was bothered by things that usually don't bother me.	0	1	2	3
2. I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing.	0	1	2	3
3. I felt depressed.	0	1	2	3
4. I felt that everything I did was an effort.	0	1	2	3
5. I felt hopeful about the future.	0	1	2	3
	0	1	2	2
6. I felt fearful.	0	1	2	3
7. My sleep was restless.	0	1	2	3
, p	-	-	=	-

8. I was happy.	0	1	2	3
9. I felt lonely.	0	1	2	3
10. I could not get "going."	0	1	2	3

Time	Event Description	Phantom Limb Pain NRS Rating 0-10	Residual Limb Pain NRS Rating 0-10
	Pre-Injection		
	Injection Type: Neuroma or Symp Block	n/a	n/a
	15 min post-injection		
	1 hour post-injection		
	2 hours post-injection		
	3 hours post-injection		
	4 hours post-injection		
	5 hours post-injection		
	6 hours post-injection		
	7 hours post-injection		

PASS

Individuals who experience pain develop different ways to respond to that pain. We would like to know what you do and what you think about when in pain. Please use the rating scale below to indicate how often you engage in each of the following thoughts or activities. Circle any number from 0 (NEVER) to 5 (ALWAYS) for each item.

	•	<u>NEV</u>	<u>ER</u>		ALWAYS			
21.	I think that if my pain gets too severe, it will never decrease	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
22.	When I feel pain I am afraid that something terrible will happen	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
23.	I go immediately to bed when I feel severe pain	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
24.	I begin trembling when engaged in activity that increases pain	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
25.	I can't think straight when I am in pain	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
26.	I will stop any activity as soon as I sense pain coming on	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
27.	Pain seems to cause my heart to pound or race	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
28.	As soon as pain comes on I take medication to reduce it	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
29.	When I feel pain I think that I may be seriously ill	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
30.	During painful episodes it is difficult for me to think of anything else besides the pain	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
31.	I avoid important activities when I hurt	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
32.	When I sense pain I feel dizzy or faint	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
33.	Pain sensations are terrifying	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
34.	When I hurt I think about the pain constantly	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
35.	Pain makes me nauseous (feel sick)	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
36.	When pain comes on strong I think I might become paralyzed or more disabled	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		
37.	I find it hard to concentrate when I hurt	. 0	1	2 3	4	5		

38.	I find it difficult to calm my body down after periods of pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
39.	I worry when I am in pain	0	1	2 3	4	5
40.	I try to avoid activities that cause pain	0	1	2 3	4	5

SUPPORTING DATA: N/A (it is too early in the protocol to begin an interim analysis but this will be a future goal).